

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/624,112	07/21/2003	Richard D. Hansen	P04487US0	6126
22885	7590 05/03/2004	•	EXAM	INER
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C.			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
801 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 3200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721		r	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,112	HANSEN, RICHARD D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cingler SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sany reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re, on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty erriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	ndrawn from consideration. are rejected. Bis/are objected to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). b) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re preau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(e)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO_412)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10232003.) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date Drmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	

Application/Control Number: 10/624,112

Art Unit: 1615

Reissue Applications

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

Applicant is reminded that new claims 17-29 must be entirely underlined—see 37 CFR 1.173(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 9, 11, 13, 17-19, 25, 26 and 29 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandhage et al in view of Fischer et al.

Sandhage et al disclose a pellet implanter for subcutaneous implantation of pellets through a hypodermic needle (see Abstract). Further, a transparent feed cartridge with parallel feed chambers allows for visual observation of the pellets which contain a therapeutic agent (see Abstract). The pellets are suggested for implantation into the ear, neck or any portion of the domestic animal which minimizes the possible ingestion of the medicament (see col. 1,

Application/Control Number: 10/624,112

Art Unit: 1615

lines 15-19). Antibiotics are specifically recited at col. 1, lines 10-11. Sandhage et al differs in that it does not disclose the color coding of the medicated pellets.

Fischer et al discloses a cartridge containing implantable projectiles. Each may have a different color coding according to the biologically active agent contained inside (see col. 4, lines 47-53). Therefore, it would have been well within the skill of the ordinary practitioner to claim the instant subcutaneous implanter for domestic animals, and further to color code the pellets in order to indicate which bioactives are being dispensed by each pellet. The ordinary practitioner would have further expected similar therapeutic results from the use of the instant gun and pellet system given the teachings of Sandhage et al in view of Fischer et al. The instant claims would have been obvious given the teachings of Sandhage et al in view of Fischer et al.

Claims 2-8, 10, 12, 14-16, 20-24, 27, and 28 are objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602.

Art Unit: 1615

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ca

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500